

## Remarks

The present response is to the Office Action mailed in the above-referenced case on January 30, 2007. In the action claims 29-31, 33-38, 39, 40-45, 47-52 and 54-57 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Iwami of record, and Chang, US 6198738, hereinafter Chang.

In response to the Examiner's rejections and remarks, the applicant herein cancels the remaining standing claims and enters six new claims 59-64, 59 and 62 being independent claims.

Claim 59 now recites a bridge unit that takes a specific action when receiving a call on one of the two bridged networks, and a different action when receiving a call on the other of the two networks. This dual action, being different for each network, is not taught by either reference, either singly or in combination. Claim 62 now is a method claim following the limitations of new claim 59, and both independent claims are therefore patentable. The depended claims are patentable at least as depended from a patentable claim.

The applicant is confident that the art cited and applied does not teach the patentable features of these claims, as claimed, and therefore solicits allowance, and that the case be passed quickly to issue.

If there are any fees due beyond any fees paid by check with this response, authorization is given to deduct such fees from deposit account 50-0534.

Respectfully,  
Dan Kikinis

By */Donald R. Boys/*  
Donald R. Boys  
Reg. No. 35,074

Central Coast Patent Agency, Inc.  
3 Hangar Way, Suite D  
Watsonville, CA 95076  
(831) 768-1755